

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/057,107	01/25/2002		Nico N. Raczek	01/018 NUT	2752
38263	7590	09/07/2005		EXAMINER	
PROPAT, I		ON AMITY ROAD	WARE, DEBORAH K		
425-C SOUTH SHARON AMITY ROAD CHARLOTTE, NC 28211-2841				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1651	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/057,107	RACZEK, NICO N.
Examiner	Art Unit
Deborah K. Ware	1651

Before the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Deborah K. Ware	1651	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	•	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	• •	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origin than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	moliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpilane / amonamone	(100001).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: all pending. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a Ne d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	if of the status of the claims after en	itily is below of attact	ieu.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered busee Attachment A.	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13.  Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: see Attachment A and note that the language is deemed not to be supported and may be new matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/057,107

**Art Unit: 1651** 

## ATTACHMENT A

The remarks are noted, however, they are not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance. The support in the instantly filed specification for the newly amended claim language after final was not found in the specification at page 10, line 29 and page 11, line 11 as alleged in Applicants' remarks. The point that the propionic acid is present is acknowledged, however, Kaesler clearly teach sorbic acid to be an alternative acid useful for their invention. Although it is claimed by Kaesler to be present in a lesser amount than Applicants' claimed range of at least 90% by weight sorbic acid, it would have been an obvious modification of the art for one of skill in the art to provide for a higher amount of sorbic acid. It is well known in the art to optimize amounts of active ingredients to obtain a desired result. One of skill in the art would have expected successful results, and further Applicants' claims do not necessarily omit propionic acid.

Likewise, to select for optimum amount and ratios thereof would have been well within the skill of the ordinary artisan. The motivation to combine the references lies in the art as a combination of well known ingredients useful in products for feed. Each of the cited prior art references clearly teach that the disclosed ingredients as well as those claimed herein are useful in combination to form feed products. Further, it is noted that Applicants' arguments are directed at least in part to non-entered subject matter and these are not deemed persuasive for reasons of record.

Art Unit: 1651

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah K. Ware whose telephone number is 571-272-0924. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Deborah K. Ware September 2, 2005

DAVID M. NAFF
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT (1285)